



April 25, 2002

Mr. Kuruvilla Oommen
Assistant City Attorney
City of Houston - Legal Department
P.O. Box 1562
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2002-2141

Dear Mr. Oommen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 161818.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a written request for the personnel file of a former city police officer. You indicate that most of the requested information will be released to the requestor. You contend, however, that certain other records coming within the scope of the request are made confidential under section 159.002 of the Occupations Code and therefore must be withheld from the public pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.¹ Additionally, you contend that some of the information contained in the submitted documents is excepted from public disclosure pursuant to section 552.117 of the Government Code.

The release of medical records is governed by the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), Occ. Code § 151.001 *et. seq.* Section 159.002 of the Occupations Code provides in pertinent part:

- (a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

¹We assume the city has released to the requestor all of the remaining documents coming within the scope of the request. If it has not, it must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). The MPA also includes provisions that govern the disclosure of information that it encompasses. *See id.* §§ 159.003, .004, .005, .006. This office has determined that in governing access to a specific subset of information, the MPA prevails over the more general provisions of chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

We agree that most of the documents you submitted to this office constitute the police officer's medical records. Furthermore, although one of the documents you submitted to this office does not constitute a "medical record" *per se*, this document appears to contain information, which we have marked, directly derived from a medical record. The city may not release the submitted medical records or the marked information unless the MPA permits the city to do so.² However, because the remaining submitted information does not contain information protected by the MPA, the city must release the remaining information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

²Because we resolve your request under the MPA, we need not address the applicability of section 552.117 to these records.

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMM/RWP/sdk

Ref: ID# 161818

Enc: Marked documents

c: Mr. Kevin D. Templeton
Case Manager
Gradoni & Associates
14520 Wunderlich Road, Suite 120
Houston, Texas 77069
(w/o enclosures)